ESSEX HALL LECTURE, 1921

THE LOST RADIANCE OF THE CHRISTIAN RELIGION

By L. P. JACKS, M.A., D.D., LL.D.

PRICE 1/3 NET

THE LINDSEY PRESS
5 ESSEX STREET, STRAND, LONDON, W.C. 2
1921

THE LOST RADIANCE OF THE CHRISTIAN RELIGION

Esser Ball Lecture

L. P. JACKS, M.A., D.D., LL.D.

THE LINDSEY PRESS
5 ESSEX STREET, STRAND, LONDON, W.C. 2
1921



www.unitarian.org.uk/docs

PRINTED BY ELSOM AND CO., LTD MARKET PLACE, HULL.

Scanned by James Barry as a volunteer

PUBLISHERS' NOTE

THE Essex Hall Lecture was established with the object of providing an opportunity for the free utterance of the thoughts of a selected speaker on some religious theme of general interest.

The first lecture was delivered in 1893 by the late Rev. Stopford A. Brooke, on 'The Development of Theology, as illustrated in English Poetry from 1780 to 1830.' 'The Relation of Jesus to his Age and our own,' by Dr. J. Estlin Carpenter: 'The Immortality of the Soul in the Poems of Tennyson and Browning,' by Sir Henry 'Heresy, its Ancient Wrongs and Tones; Modern Rights,' by the Rev. Alex. Gordon; 'The Religious Philosophy of Plotinus, and some Modern Philosophies of Religion,' by Dr. Inge, Dean of St. Paul's; 'The Place of Judaism among the Religions of the World,' by Dr. Claude G. Montefiore; 'Christianity Applied to the Life of Men and of Nations,' by Bishop Gore —these are a few of the subjects of the lectures in past years.

The lecture by Dr. L. P. Jacks on 'The Lost Radiance of the Christian Religion,' when delivered, was warmly appreciated by the audience, and it is believed that a wider public will

read it with interest.

Essex Hall, London, September, 1921.

THE LOST RADIANCE OF THE CHRISTIAN RELIGION

OF all the interpretations of the Christian Religion there are few so false and none so worthless as those which reduce it to a wash of rose colour spread over the dark realities of the world, or to a group of fancies in which the soul of man, knowing them to be untrue, takes a deceitful holiday from the burden and the tragedy of life.

But while it is needful to guard ourselves against these sentimental perversions, of which there have been many, it is yet true that Christianity is the most encouraging, the most joyous, the least repressive and the least forbidding of all the religions of mankind. There is no religion which throws off the burden of life so completely, which

escapes so swiftly from sad moods, which gives so large a scope for the high spirits of the soul, and welcomes to its bosom with so warm an embrace those things of beauty which are joys for ever. The Christian Religion has arduous phases; there are points on its onward path where it enters the deepest of shadows, and may even be said to descend into hell. But the end of it all is a resurrection and not a burial, a festival and not a funeral, an ascent into the heights and not a lingering in the depths. Nowhere else is the genius of the Christian Religion more poignantly revealed than in the Parable of the Prodigal Son, which begins in the minor key and gradually rises to the major, until it culminates in a great merrymaking, to the surprise of the Elder Son, who thinks the majesty of the moral law will be compromised by the music and the dancing, and has to be reminded that these joyous sounds are the keynotes of the spiritual world.

In our discussions of the religious needs of young people we are often tempted to regard Christianity as a religion of the old, which has by some means or other to be adapted to the minds of the young. I think we should be nearer the truth if we were to regard it as originally a religion of the young which has lost some of its savour by being adapted to the minds of the old.

In thus describing Christianity, I am thinking of its original genius; and not of the forms or the atmosphere it has acquired in the course of its chequered history, and in which it still survives. The chief difference (as it seems to me) between the original as we find it in the New Testament, and the forms with which we are familiar in our time, is that the modern version has lost the note of encouragement, and acquired again that very note of repression, which has no place in the good news of Jesus Christ. There have been many corruptions of Christianity, and some of these, as we

know, have damaged the innermost substance of its teaching. But perhaps the most serious corruption of all is not to be found in any list of the doctrines that have gone wrong. We find it rather in a change of the atmosphere, in a loss of brightness and radiant energy, in a tendency to revert in spirit, if not in terminology, to much colder conceptions of God, of man, and of the universe.

Indeed it is no uncommon thing to meet with persons of authority who openly avow that they are unable to sustain themselves in the atmosphere of the New Testament, that the only portions of it which appeal to them are those which fall into line with the stern obligations and straightforward moralities of the Hebrew religion, that all the rest is fantastic and artificial, and that they for their part are more at home in the Old Testament, than in the New. To these persons it is matter of no moment when you tell them, in the

language of St. Paul, that they are returning to the beggarly elements of the law; they attach little importance to what St. Paul says about these things: and their withers are unwrung. If you add that they are losing the radiance of Christianity, they answer 'Let it go; it is more dazzling than useful; and we shall see better where we are when it is gone.' Such an avowal is entitled to respect, if only for its honesty. But there is another attitude of mind, far more common, which one can hardly respect quite as much. It belongs to those who have reverted without knowing it; who adopt the phrases distinctive of the Gospel, but miss the radiant energy that transfigures their meaning and makes them effectual; so that in the long run their Christianity reduces itself to the pursuit of moral excellence under a system of inviolable law, like the religion of the book of Deuteronomy.

The radiance of the Christian religion

is a subtle and elusive thing which no definition can capture and no eloquence describe. It is not attached to any particular mode of presenting the doctrinal side. Christianity in its simplest and most intelligible form may be as deficient in radiance as any other. No doubt the simpler forms ought to retain it more easily than the complex. But that has not always happened. All that need be said is, that a simple Christianity which has lost its radiance is no more effectual than a complex Christianity under similar conditions. The simple like the complex may easily overlook the presence of a thing so subtle. In religion, as in art, the difference between the best and the second best is a difference that cannot be verbally formulated—'it is the matter of a hair, a shade, an inward quiver 'and yet what a difference it is! We recognize it in persons, though perhaps without knowing exactly what it is.

Indeed it was in the form of a person

that the radiance of Christianity made its first appearance and its first impression on the world. It has a kinship with Beauty, but it stands for a Beauty so different from any other with which we are familiar that even that pregnant word does not carry us very far. And yet it is no travesty of our religion to say that its power to move us lies in the vision it brings of a hidden beauty in the world, present even in the depths of pain and sorrow, and nowhere else so poignantly present as there, the sight of which is so encouraging, so invigorating, so exhilarating, that life and conduct are immediately raised to its own levels, and we ourselves become dispensers of it, with a new mastery over fate and circumstance, conquerors and more than conquerors over these light afflictions that are but for a moment. Christianity did more than tell us something new and refreshing about the nature of God. It told us something new and refreshing about the nature of

the universe of which we are living parts. 'A light came into the world' -so the Fourth Gospel puts it-not a light shed from without, but a light that burns within the heart of the world itself, transfiguring the whole length and breadth of existence, from what it seems to be to the eye of sense into the fullness of its reality—the fitting abode of immortal and rejoicing spirits, the Father's house of many mansions, where music and dancing await the regenerated soul, not only the music, to which we listen, but the dancing which reproduces the music in the total movement of our lives. This is what I mean, and nothing less than this, when I speak of the radiance of the Christian religion not on adventitious quality, nor a mere alleviation or adornment of an otherwise stern and uncompromising code of duty, but an essential and all-pervading energy, in which duty itself becomes a new creation along with everything else, forgotten as duty because it has been

transformed into love, so that the poet could say:—

Flowers laugh before thee on their beds, And fragrance in thy footing treads.

There are many ways in which we may read the New Testament; many moods in which we may respond to its appeal; many angles of vision from which we may interpret its teachings. Mostly we place it in an atmosphere which is certainly not its native air, and which it can hardly breathe without some loss of its essential radiance. How shall we describe the setting in which we most commonly place it? I am not using a veiled term of contempt when I call it the atmosphere of our Churches and Chapels. We read it in close connexion with the solemn exercises of religion and with the gravity of theological studies. We read it with the memory of endless controversies in the background of our minds. We read it as men who are anxious for the safe-

guarding of morality, though the Gospel of Christ is indeed no more anxious about morality than it is about the morrow. We read it as a portentous document which brings us into the presence of dread alternatives and bids us choose between life and death. In such associations we naturally become pensive and our spirits apt to droop; the light grows dim; the tone subdued; the temper inelastic and sometimes perhaps a little cowed. That is not a favourable state of mind for penetrating the secret of Christ. Our minds should be undisturbed by controversial interests. There is that in the Gospel which is more akin to the song of the skylark and the babbling of brooks.

And yet I am far from saying that in all this pensiveness we are utterly mistaken. There is room in Christianity for our pensive moods. The compass of its theme is wide enough to allow of many a passage in the minor key, and perhaps even for a discord here and

there. There are moments indeed, or phases, when the whole meaning of our religion seems to turn on the dark tragedy of human existence; when Christ himself comes before us a Man of Sorrows, and the Cross overshadows everything else. But these things are not dwelt upon in a heavy-hearted way. Christianity does not brood upon the sorrows of mankind. It is always music that you hear, and sometimes dancing as well; and if at times the melody dies out in a sad cadence, or even a cry of despair, it is never final, but only the prelude to a greater song. The mistake arises, where we pitch upon the minor key as though it were the characteristic note. This I am afraid is what many of us have done; or shall I say what the church and chapel atmosphere has done for us; in spite of our knowledge that it is a misfortune. And the danger of it is great.

By approaching our religion exclusively from that point of view, by set-

ting it exclusively in that atmosphere, we lose sight of the qualities which make it a religion of encouragement, until little by little it slips back to the very thing it was intended to supersede, becoming a system of repression, directed against a race of men who cannot be trusted, and who need the terrors of the law, veiled perhaps under a new terminology, to coerce them into obedience. Whenever that happens Christianity fails. It fails through the loss of its radiant energy. It sinks to the level of a philosophy of life piping to a world that will not dance.

It is strange, after the Christian religion has been studied and practised for so many ages, though it has been studied far more than it has been practised, that we need to remind ourselves so often that Christianity is essentially the religion of the spirit. It was so to Jesus; it was so to St. Paul; and it should be so to us. The final object of a spiritual religion must always be the Spirit, that

and nothing else. 'God,' said Jesus, 'is Spirit,' and it is a definition of God which goes behind and beneath all the other names that are applied to Him. And not only the object of it but the source; the well-spring of all motives, as well as the goal of all desires. The fruit of the Spirit, says St. Paul, is love, joy, peace, and the rest, all the great virtues following in the train of these three. These are the fruits of the spirit, because they are the nature of the spirit. The spirit is love; it is peace; it is joy; and perhaps joy most of all. It is a joyous energy, having a centre in the soul of man. It is not a foreign principle which has to be introduced into a man from without; it belongs to the substance and structure of his nature: it needs only to be liberated there; and when once that is done it takes possession of all the forces of his being, repressing nothing, but transfiguring everything till all his motives and desires are akindle and aglow with the

fires and energy of that central flame, with its love, its peace, its joy.

We are introduced to a conception of man which represents him as a vast reservoir of spiritual force, unused, undeveloped, perhaps even unborn, but waiting for liberation, and destined when liberated to clothe him with splendid virtues, to expand his being over an enormous range, to make his garments whiter than any fuller on earth can white them, and his countenance to shine as the sun.

Over against this conception of man the Religion of the Spirit furnishes a conception of the world, of the universe, of reality, to correspond. As man in his innermost nature is a far higher being than he seems, so the world in its innermost nature is a far nobler fabric than it seems, precisely the kind of place, or of home, where the liberated spirit can find a never ending field for its activities, and a never ending theme for its joy. Man and his world are transfigured

together; how could it be otherwise, seeing that both are of one substance? It is not man alone, but the whole creation, groaning and travailing in pain together until now, that stands to be delivered by the Spirit, delivered from the vision that is incomplete into the vision that is complete, delivered from the bondage of corruption into the glorious liberty of the children of God. Such is the compass and depth of the Christian religion—the Religion of the Spirit. Such are the qualities which make it pre-eminently a religion of encouragement, and throw it into sharp contrast with that other type of religion, which preceded it and has often usurped its place-the religion whose keynote is domination, whose method is repression, whose atmosphere is gloom and whose end is failure.

With these conceptions in mind let us read our New Testaments again, asking ourselves whether it really is the portentous document the churches and

chapels have made of it, or rather whether the portentous elements, which are assuredly there, are not themselves so transfigured in the general transfiguration that they change their character and become radiant things along with all the rest.

Almost the first thing to greet us is an angel's song. To what is that the prelude? What does it lead us to expect? What is to be the nature of the symphony when the music begins in a theme so light hearted and jubilant? A new system of ethics, a corrected theology, an improved version of the Hebrew conception of God? Surely it is to be something more. And these weary and heavy laden souls whom Jesus invites into the haven of his rest. Who are they? Are they not chiefly ourselves, bowed down with moral anxieties, oppressed by the burden of a world whose nature we have thoroughly mistaken, blind to the eternal values of which it is the home, and sadly thinking that we

were born to set it right. The glory he saw in the flowers of the field, the infinite importance of the sparrows fall, of the hairs of our head, of the two mites thrown into the treasury and of all the minute particulars of existence, the elemental joyousness that he loved in little children, the father's face which their angels rejoice to behold, the feast that crowns the turmoil of the Prodigal's life, the fruit of the vine that he will drink no more till he drinks it new in his father's kingdom, the paradise promised to the dying thief, the light come into the world of which the Fourth Gospel tells the story, the exuberant high spirits of the farewell discourses, the house of many mansions, the waving aside of death and tribulation as things that are overcome, the glory seen by St. Paul in the face of Jesus Christ and reflected in the liberty of the children of God, and finally the New Jerusalem coming down out of heaven from God, made ready like a bride adorned for her

husband-all these, and much else of the same kind have a deeper significance than our pensive theologies have dared to find in them. They are more than incidental-more than pleasant resting places in a painful life of moral endeavour, more than the bright moments of a severe and sombre pedagogy. They belong not to the fringe of Christianity but to its essence. Their meaning is cosmic and universal. They are the keys to the riddle of life, prophecies of the end of all things, revelations of the reality that abides beyond the shadows, insights into the nature of that spirit whom unless men worship, they worship not the God of Jesus Christ. the life of loving service, of imperturbable good cheer, of high-hearted fellowship and generosity, which blends with all this as the words of a song blend with the music to which they are set, is neither an introduction nor an appendix, but a natural response which the soul makes and cannot help making to

a world built on the same heavenly pattern with itself and aglow with the same immortal fire.

I cannot but think that we are never further from the genius of the Christian religion than when we treat this luminous atmosphere as though it were a foreign envelope, of little account so long as the substance it enshrines is retained intact. Without it, the substance, no matter how simple or how complex, becomes a dry formula, dead V as the moon. Losing the radiance we lose at the same time the central light from which the radiance springs, and our religion, instead of transforming the corruptible world into its incorruptible equivalents, reverts to the type it was intended to supersede and becomes a mere safeguard to the moral law.

Can a religion of this nature be translated into the homely terms of human conduct? Can it become the driving power of a noble life? Can it be applied to solving the concrete problems of our

civilization? Can it be used as a master principle for building up the form of

human society?

That the Christian religion has influenced our social life at many important points cannot be denied? That it has ever been used as the master principle of construction I greatly doubt. Indeed we have grown so accustomed to guiding our conduct by other ideals, and to solving our problems by other methods, and the mental habits that go with these have become so embedded into the structure of our thought, that to many minds the Christian method appears to be no real method at all. Nav more. Convinced as most of us now seem to be that human progress is mainly to be won through the medium of political action, we often imagine that we are appealing to Christian principles when, as a matter of fact, we are yielding to the very obsession from which Christ lived and died to deliver the world.

At all events, no one can view the pass to which we are now come, the dreadful confusion bordering on anarchy in which the fortunes of mankind have become involved, without suspecting that great illusions have been at work, and feeling himself challenged to think out the causes of these things in the light of first principles. Such an effort will not be in vain. It will be rewarded by a threefold discovery; first, that the Christian principle still awaits its application at the central point; second, that the opportunity for applying it exists at the present moment; third, that nothing can allay these discords short of those radiant conceptions of God, of man, of the universe, which are the life and essence of Christianity.

Look at them once more. On the one hand there is the idea of man as a being of vast potentialities; from which it follows that the first need of man is not limitation but expansion, not repression but encouragement. Correspression but encouragement.

ponding to this is the idea of the world as a storehouse of inexhaustible riches which man's nature befits him to appropriate and entitles him to enjoy. pose now that civilization, starting with the impulse given to it by those ideas, had followed them out step by step through the ages-what direction would the course of history have taken? Clearly the chief concentration of energy would have been on the 'development' of this wonderful being, on what we may call the 'education' of man's spirit in the largest sense of the term. All those methods, whether religious or political, would have been avoided which start from the assumption that man is either depraved or dangerous, and which, by assuming that he is depraved or dangerous, end by making him so. That is to say, many features which are now deeply characteristic of the social structure as we know it would never have come into existence. The schools would still be there, but the prisons would not.

Equally impossible would have been the rise of a large number of powerful political States standing in perilous and warlike relationships to one another.

Negatively we can say this and a great deal more, ruling out, one by one, institutions and characteristics so closely linked with what we know as civilization that we can hardly think of civilization without them. This leaves it the more difficult to say positively what form society would have taken, had the aim throughout been the development of man. Power and domination, which are now the outstanding features, would certainly have become subsidiary, and might possibly have disappeared altogether. I have sometimes thought that we get a more positive hint, faint but significant, from existing groups and communities where education or development is the avowed object of the association. In the best of our schools and colleges, in certain aspects of University life, in the societies which exist

for promoting the arts and sciences we have a type of human relationship which the imagination can easily extend to a world-wide scale. I do not suggest that the mission of Christianity was to turn the whole world into a school or college; but I do suggest that the spirit of fellowship and goodwill that is to be found in the best of these institutions is capable of producing a rich and varied type of social structure in the world at large. Conceivably it might have furnished the evolution of the west with its main motive and line of direction, with the result that we should be living in a world as unlike to the present, as two things could possibly be.

But, as we know, civilization launched out in another direction. Instead of concentrating on development, as Christianity was inviting it to do, it concentrated on government. Unable or unwilling to grasp the truth that the first need of man is to be taught, the western world reverted to the legalist notion that

man is a dangerous being, whose first need is to be governed—a being with whom you can do nothing till you have put a bridle in his teeth. Under the malign obsession of this belief everything was gradually pushed back to the point from which the religion of the spirit had pushed it forward-religion, theology, morals and politics-so that western civilization, hardly knowing what it did, again fell under the sway of ideas which were nothing more nor less than the old leaven of legalism under a new disguise. The universe itself was conceived as founded on a system of iron law which it is death to disobey, a conception which empties the world immediately of everything that makes it a home for the creative spirit. So deeply has this idea entered into the structure of our thought that to this day it is no uncommon thing to hear the laws of nature spoken of as though they were legal enactments,-to which they present not the least analogy.

In all this there was naturally involved a parallel degeneration in the idea of God. Men still spoke of him as the Father, following the terminology of Christ, but missing altogether its spirit. Under the stress of the surrounding ideas nothing could prevent the popular imagination from translating this term into the vision of a venerable potentate, a grandfather rather than a father, a patriarch rather than a companion, administering rewards and penalties under fixed statutes, and listening to the petitions of his subjects for their mitigation. God is light, God is love, God is Spirit-these phrases were still repeated, but for the multitudes of mankind the life and the meaning was gone out of them.

The reaction on human conduct, on the structure of society, on the form of education was disastrous. Conduct took the form of submission to a law imposed from without, with the result that virtue lost its creativeness, while

the mass of mankind became recalcitrant on the spot, government became domination, with the result that the dominating few became corrupt and the dominated many rebellious; education became the process of breaking in the spirit, with the result that only exceptional men could obey the call of their Maker by breaking out. Belief passed into dogma; the mind of man was put in fetters as well as his body; the Church built one prison and the State built another-the earthly counterpart of that eternal prison where the monarch of the universe tormented the souls of his disobedient subjects for ever and ever. All this was closely connected with the idea of the potentate God which Church and State, in consequence of their political alliance had restored, against the martyr protest of Jesus Christ. Thinking of God in these degenerate terms, and hearing that they themselves had been made in his image, what more natural

than that men should often think of themselves as little potentates, whose mission in life was to lay down the law for their fellows?

The ex-Kaiser of Germany is by no means a solitary instance of a man who fancied himself most like God when he was giving an order to his troops, that is to say, when he was least like God. In subtler but not less mischievous forms the same illusion has been at work for thousands of years, not only in the few who possessed authority, but in the multitudes who were anxious to possess it, and so turn the tables on their oppressors. I doubt if we have fully realized to what a degree the current ideas of God, of the world, and of the Divine Government have been moulded by habits of thought which have their origin in the peculiar needs of conquering races and war-making empires; nor do we see how these same ideas, reacting on the social conditions from which they spring intensify misunderstandings at

every point and fill the world with illwill, bad blood, inhuman delusions, and perpetual strife. Is it not a strange thing that century after century the history of our civilization in large affairs as in small, has turned on that forbidden question, 'Who shall be greatest?'; a question which assumes Protean forms, but which, in its newest forms, as in its oldest, is utterly alien to the Christian conceptions of man, and has no place whatever in the religion of the spirit. Hence that hideous struggle for power, that moves for ever in a vicious circle, now breaking out into terrific conflicts between nation and nation, now into class wars that are hardly less destructive, strewing calamity at every stage of its futile progress, until at last it brings the world to the verge of social and political anarchy.

The Dean of St. Paul's has recently stated that the problem of human government still remains unsolved. It remains unsolved because in and by itself

it is insoluble. It becomes soluble only when placed in strict subordination to other questions that are far more vital than itself. Treat man, after the mind of Christ, as a being whose first need is for light, and whose second need is for government, and you will find that as his need for light is progressively satisfied, his need for government will progressively diminish. That is the only solution of the problem of government. Reverse the order, treat him as primarily a subject, whether of God or king, or demos, and what happens? The politics of the world will tend towards chaos, as they now are doing, while religion will decline step by step until it becomes indistinguishable from the moral pedagogy, whose impotence has been demonstrated by innumerable failures. The struggle for power has now involved the whole fabric of our civilization, and each new phase of it leaves the final solution more remote and unattainable. It is the inevitable consequence of reverting to the

idea of domination, as the key of God's relation to man and of man's relation to his fellows. On that ground the doom of mankind is perpetual strife. There is nothing for it but to fight it out, and to go on fighting it out, phase after phase, till civilization has spent its resources and the higher energies of the race are exhausted.

But there are many hopeful signs; and the chief of them all in my judgment is the growing recognition, all over the world, that man's primary need is for light. If the Churches should be led by the Spirit of God to ally themselves with this, the lost radiance of the Christian religion will return, and the Church will become the foremost teacher of mankind. If not, the spiritual revival will take place all the same; but it will take place not in the churches but in the schools. In the next generation there will grow up, there is growing up even now, in this country and in many others, a vast unordained ministry of religion

who will find their mission at the teacher's desk. Along with this, there is a hunger for light in every class of the community which exceeds all existing means of satisfying it. Is it not a significant fact that while the churches are complaining of emptiness, the schools, the colleges, the universities, are packed to overflowing? Within the last few weeks I have met a Frenchman, a Swede, a Dutchman, an American, a Chinaman, and a Japanese. To each I put the same question: 'What is the leading interest in your country? What do your people really believe in?' And in each case the answer given was the same-Education. When I varied the question, and asked: 'What have you learnt from the war?' the answer was: 'We have learnt our need of education.' Some would prefer them to have said: 'We have learnt our need of Christianity.' But is it not the same thing? In grasping the vast potentialities of the human spirit, and that is what this hun-

ger for education means, have they not grasped an essential characteristic of the Christian religion, and placed themselves at its very growing point? Unless the signs are wholly misleading—and I cannot believe that they are—a movement has already begun, on the surface but more beneath the surface, which, if it develops according to promise, will grow into the most impassioned enterprise so far undertaken by man.

The struggle for *light*, with its wide fellowships and high enthusiasms, will displace the struggle for *power*, with its mean passions, its monstrous illusions and its contemptible ideals. Instead of Education being a department of Government as now, Government will become a department of Education. The struggle for power will end, not as some predict, in universal revolution, which would merely set it going again in another form, but by being submerged, lost sight of, snowed under by the greater interests that centre round the

struggle for light. I say these things will happen. But they will not happen unless men are sufficiently resolved that they shall. Already thousands are so resolved. Let us add our resolution to theirs, thereby taking the first step towards the recovery of the lost radiance of the Christian religion. For of one thing we may be assured. The struggle for light will not stop at a first series of discoveries. It will go on and on, from point to point, from position to position, from insight to insight, until the fruits of the Spirit are possessed, the eternal values revealed, the unsearchable riches laid bare, the many mansions fully opened, and the turmoil of life transfigured and explained in the music and dancing of an immortal world.

THE LINDSEY PRESS

SECOND EDITION.

Cr. 8vo. 400 pp. 3s. 6d. net.

A Book of Daily Strength

Selections from Unitarian writers for each day in the year

Edited by

VALENTINE D. DAVIS, B.A.

'It is only necessary to glance at the list of authors to be assured that they would furnish choice, helpful, beautiful passages for reading as each day of the livelong year begins; and to make acquaintance with these pages fully confirms such an impression. The book does honour to the Church which is thus happily and usefully represented.'—The Literary World.

'Mr. Davis must be said to have brought intelligence and spiritual appreciation of a very high order to the task of selection.'—
The New Age.

LINDSEY PRESS, 5 Essex St., Strand, W.C.2.

The New Testament and Modern Life

By S. H. MELLONE, M.A., D.Sc. Cloth, 6s. net.

In this book Dr. Mellone's endeavour has been to indicate as concisely and as clearly as possible the ethical import of the most important parts of the New Testament, and the directions in which the resulting principles bear upon modern life and civilization.

Prof. James Moffatt, M.A., D.Litt., writing in

the British Weekly, says:-

'It is a genuine pleasure to read Dr. S. H. Mellone's new book on 'The New Testament and Modern Life'... he applies critical judgment to the records, and combines with it a fine moral judgment, which enables him to present positive results... If a book like this were read and pondered, we should hear less of some sentimental and impressionistic renderings of Christ's teaching.'

'Dr. Mellone is markedly successful in the delicate task of separating kernel from husk, seizing and isolating the eternal element in a great saying in contrast to the temporary vehicle of its expression.'—Modern Churchman.

'If there is a better book for the general reader on the moral principles of Jesus and their application in the life of our own time, the reviewer has not seen it. We congratulate author and publishers in England on the production of this book, and ourselves in America on the opportunity to read it.'—Christian Register, U.S.A.